Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/053,608	FOONG, ANNIE P.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Timothy Edwards, Jr.	2635
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Timothy Edwards, Jr	(3)	
(2) John Altmiller.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 15 September 2004.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: N/A.		
Claim(s) discussed: 1.3.7 and 14.		
Identification of prior art discussed: Weinblatt [US 4,670,738].		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner indicated Weinblatt '738 was pertinent to claim 1 but did not address claim 3 or independent claims 7 and 14. It was agreed to include the limitation of claim 3 into claim 1. Application is now in condition for allowance. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims		
allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
•		
	•	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		
r masteriora to a signed Unice action.	Examiner's signa	iture, if required